

TRACES OF FUNCTIONS IN FOCK SPACES ON LATTICES OF CRITICAL DENSITY.

JEREMIAH BUCKLEY, XAVIER MASSANEDA, AND JOAQUIM ORTEGA-CERDÀ

ABSTRACT. Following a scheme of Levin we describe the values that functions in Fock spaces take on lattices of critical density in terms of both the size of the values and a cancelation condition that involves discrete versions of the Cauchy and Beurling-Ahlfors transforms.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in describing the set of values $c = (c_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that there exists some function f in a Fock space satisfying the condition $f|_\Lambda = c$ where Λ is a sequence that ‘just fails’ to be interpolating. While we shall prove our results in a more general context, we begin by introducing the problem in the classical Bargmann-Fock space, where the results are more easily digestible.

We define the classical Bargmann-Fock space, as studied in [Sei92] and [SW92], by

$$\mathcal{F}^p = \{f \in H(\mathbb{C}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2} dm(z) < +\infty\}, \text{ for } 1 \leq p < +\infty$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^\infty = \{f \in H(\mathbb{C}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)| e^{-|z|^2} < +\infty\}$$

where m denotes the Lebesgue measure on the plane. Seip and Wallstén completely characterised sets of sampling and sets of interpolation in these spaces. We begin with a definition.

Definition 1. A sequence $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is an *interpolating sequence* for $\mathcal{F}^p$, where $1 \leq p < +\infty$ if for every sequence of values $c = (c_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p e^{-p|\lambda|^2} < +\infty$$

there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}^p$ such that $f|_\Lambda = c$.

Also Λ is an *interpolating sequence* for $\mathcal{F}^\infty$ if for every sequence of values c such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| e^{-|\lambda|^2} < +\infty$$

there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$ such that $f|_\Lambda = c$.

The authors are supported by the project MTM2008-05561-C02-01 and the grant 2009 SGR 1303.

Thus the interpolating sequences are the sequences such that the values functions from the space take on the sequence can be described purely in terms of a natural growth condition. Seip and Wallstén then proved the following result:

Theorem 1 ([Sei92, Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.4],[SW92, Theorem 1.2]). *A sequence Λ is an interpolating sequence for $\mathcal{F}^p$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, if and only if*

- Λ is a uniformly separated sequence, that is $\inf_{\lambda \neq \lambda'} |\lambda - \lambda'| > 0$ and
- The upper uniform density of Λ , $\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap \overline{D(z, r)})}{\pi r^2} < \frac{2}{\pi}$.

There are many generalisations of this result, see [MMO03] and the references therein. We shall consider instead sequences $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ that are uniformly separated but whose density is exactly the critical value, that is $\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda) = 2/\pi$. We shall not consider all such sequences but instead restrict ourselves to those sequences that we have extra information about.

We consider first, as an instructive example, the integer lattice (suitably scaled)

$$\Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}),$$

which is a sequence of critical density $2/\pi$. The Weierstrass σ -function associated to Λ is defined by

$$\sigma(z) = z \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right) e^{\frac{z}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^2}{\lambda^2}},$$

where we use the notation $\Lambda_\lambda = \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda\}$. Note that Λ is the zero-set of σ , and

$$|\sigma(z)| \simeq e^{|z|^2} d(z, \Lambda)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ [SW92, p. 108]. Here d refers to the usual distance between a point and a set.

Given a sequence $(a_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, we define the principal value of its sum to be

$$\text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\lambda| < R} a_\lambda.$$

We are ready to state our main result, in this special case:

Theorem 2. *Let $\Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$. There exists $f \in \mathcal{F}^1$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if*

- $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| e^{-|\lambda|^2} < +\infty$,
- $\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{\sigma'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')} \right| < +\infty$ and
- $\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{\sigma'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')^2} \right| < +\infty$.

There exists $f \in \mathcal{F}^p$ for $1 < p < \infty$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if

- $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p e^{-p|\lambda|^2} < +\infty$ and
- $\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{\sigma'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')} \right|^p < +\infty.$

There exists $f \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if

- $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| e^{-|\lambda|^2} < +\infty,$
- $\sup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda_0} \left| -\frac{c_0}{\sigma'(0)\lambda'} + \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{c_\lambda}{\sigma'(\lambda)} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda'} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right| < +\infty$ and
- $\sup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{\sigma'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')^2} \right| < +\infty.$

In fact these results hold for any sequence Λ that is the zero set of a function τ with growth similar to the Weierstrass σ -function. Specifically, suppose τ is an entire function such that

- The zero-sequence $\mathcal{Z}(\tau)$ of τ is uniformly separated,
- $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} d(z, \mathcal{Z}(\tau)) < +\infty$ and
- $|\tau(z)| \simeq e^{|z|^2} d(z, \mathcal{Z}(\tau))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then Theorem 2 holds if we replace σ by τ and take $\Lambda = \mathcal{Z}(\tau)$. Such a set is always a set of critical density. The existence of many such functions τ is guaranteed by Theorem 7.

Our work, both the results and the proofs, is inspired by a similar result due to Levin in the classical Paley-Wiener spaces [Lev96, Lecture 21]. In these spaces, the integers are an interpolating sequence in almost every situation, however this fails in the two extremes, namely the L^1 and L^∞ cases. Levin completely described the traces of functions in the L^∞ spaces on the integers, and Ber (see [Lev96, Lecture 21] and also [Ber80]) solved the same problem in the L^1 case. While a discrete version of the Hilbert transform is the key ingredient in these results, we shall see that it is discrete versions of the Cauchy and Beurling-Ahlfors transforms that shall play a similar role in the Fock context.

We shall in fact consider more general spaces, in which the function $|z|^2$ is replaced by a subharmonic function ϕ whose Laplacian $\Delta\phi$ is a doubling measure.

The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2 we state some definitions and basic properties to be used later (namely of doubling measures, generalised Fock spaces and generalised lattices). Section 3 contains the statements of our results. In Section 4 we prove two representation formulas for functions in our generalised Fock spaces in terms of the values of the function on a critical lattice. In Section 5 we study a discrete version of the Beurling-Ahlfors transform. Finally in Section 6 we prove the statements in Section 3.

We shall use the following standard notation: The expression $f \lesssim g$ means that there is a constant C independent of the relevant variables such that $f \leq Cg$, and $f \simeq g$ means that $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$.

2. TECHNICAL PRELIMINARIES

This section contains technical results that we shall repeatedly use in our proofs, as well as a precise definition of the spaces we are studying. Much of the development follows [MMO03].

2.1. Doubling Measures.

Definition 2. A nonnegative Borel measure μ in $\mathbb{C}$ is called *doubling* if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\mu(D(z, 2r)) \leq C\mu(D(z, r))$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$. We denote by C_μ the infimum of the constants C for which the inequality holds.

Let ϕ be a (non-harmonic) subharmonic function whose Laplacian $\Delta\phi$ is a doubling measure. Canonical examples of such functions are given by $\phi(z) = |z|^\gamma$ where $\gamma > 0$. Writing $\mu = \Delta\phi$ we define, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\rho_\phi(z)$ to be the radius such that $\mu(D(z, \rho_\phi(z))) = 1$. We shall normally ignore the dependence on ϕ and simply write $\rho(z)$.

We have the following estimates from [MMO03, p. 869]: There exist $\eta > 0$, $C_0 > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(1) \quad C_0^{-1}|z|^{-\eta} \leq \rho(z) \leq C_0|z|^\beta \text{ for } |z| > 1.$$

and

$$(2) \quad |\rho(z) - \rho(\zeta)| \leq |z - \zeta| \text{ for } z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

So ρ is a Lipschitz function, and so in particular is continuous. We will write

$$D^r(z) = D(z, r\rho(z))$$

and

$$D(z) = D^1(z).$$

We then have the following estimate, which we shall repeatedly make use of:

Lemma 3 ([Chr91, p. 205]). *If $\zeta \notin D(z)$ then*

$$\frac{\rho(z)}{\rho(\zeta)} \lesssim \left(\frac{|z - \zeta|}{\rho(\zeta)} \right)^{1-t}$$

for some $t \in (0, 1)$ depending only on the doubling constant, C_μ .

We note, as in [Chr91], that ρ^{-2} can be seen as a regularisation of $\Delta\phi$. Then if we define d_ϕ to be the distance induced by the metric $\rho(z)^{-2}dz \otimes d\bar{z}$ we have:

Lemma 4 ([MMO03, Lemma 4]). *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $r > 0$ there exists $C_r > 0$ such that*

$$\bullet \quad C_r^{-1} \frac{|z - \zeta|}{\rho(z)} \leq d_\phi(z, \zeta) \leq C_r \frac{|z - \zeta|}{\rho(z)} \text{ if } |z - \zeta| \leq r\rho(z) \text{ and}$$

$$\bullet \quad C_r^{-1} \left(\frac{|z - \zeta|}{\rho(z)} \right)^\delta \leq d_\phi(z, \zeta) \leq C_r \left(\frac{|z - \zeta|}{\rho(z)} \right)^{2-\delta} \text{ if } |z - \zeta| > r\rho(z).$$

2.2. Generalised Fock spaces and interpolating sequences. As before let ϕ be a subharmonic function whose Laplacian $\Delta\phi$ is a doubling measure. The following are all generalisations of the development in the Introduction, with $\phi(z)$ playing the role of $|z|^2$. The generalised Fock spaces we deal with are defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_\phi^p = \{f \in H(\mathbb{C}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_\phi^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^p e^{-p\phi(z)} \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2} < +\infty\}, \text{ for } 1 \leq p < +\infty$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty = \{f \in H(\mathbb{C}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)| e^{-\phi(z)} < +\infty\}.$$

We shall assume (see [MMO03, Theorem 14]) that $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$. It is worth noting, as in [MMO03, p. 863], that there are many spaces of functions which correspond to $\mathcal{F}_\phi^p$ for some ϕ , although this may not be initially apparent. We shall take the following definitions verbatim from [MMO03]:

Definition 3. A sequence $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is an *interpolating sequence* for $\mathcal{F}_\phi^p$, where $1 \leq p < +\infty$ if for every sequence of values c such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p e^{-p\phi(\lambda)} < +\infty$$

there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$ such that $f|_\Lambda = c$.

Also Λ is an *interpolating sequence* for $\mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$ if for every sequence of values c such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| e^{-\phi(\lambda)} < +\infty$$

there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$ such that $f|_\Lambda = c$.

Definition 4. A sequence Λ is ρ -*separated* if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\lambda - \lambda'| \geq \delta \max\{\rho(\lambda), \rho(\lambda')\}, \text{ for } \lambda \neq \lambda'.$$

One consequence of Lemma 4 is that a sequence Λ is ρ -separated if and only if it is uniformly separated with respect to the distance d_ϕ , that is $\inf_{\lambda \neq \lambda'} d_\phi(\lambda, \lambda') > 0$.

Definition 5. Assume that Λ is a ρ -separated sequence and denote $\mu = \Delta\phi$ as before. The *upper uniform density* of Λ with respect to $\Delta\phi$ is

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Delta\phi}^+(\Lambda) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\# \left(\Lambda \cap \overline{D(z, r\rho(z))} \right)}{\mu(D(z, r\rho(z)))}.$$

It should be noted that replacing $\phi(z)$ by $|z|^2$ in this definition does not produce the density given in Theorem 2, but rather a constant multiple of it. We have:

Theorem 5 ([MMO03, Theorem B]). *A sequence Λ is interpolating for $\mathcal{F}_\phi^p$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, if and only if Λ is ρ -separated and $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta\phi}^+(\Lambda) < \frac{1}{2\pi}$.*

We finish with a Plancherel-Polya type inequality:

Lemma 6 ([MMO03, Lemma 19(a)]). *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. For any $r > 0$ there exists $C = C(r) > 0$ such that for any $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$|f(z)|^p e^{-p\phi(z)} \leq C \int_{D^r(z)} |f(\zeta)|^p e^{-p\phi(\zeta)} \frac{dm(\zeta)}{\rho(\zeta)^2}.$$

This has an elementary but useful consequence. Suppose that Λ is a ρ -separated sequence and that $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$. Then

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^p e^{-p\phi(\lambda)} \leq C \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \int_{D^{\delta/2}(\lambda)} |f(\zeta)|^p e^{-p\phi(\zeta)} \frac{dm(\zeta)}{\rho(\zeta)^2} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(\zeta)|^p e^{-p\phi(\zeta)} \frac{dm(\zeta)}{\rho(\zeta)^2} < \infty$$

where δ is the constant appearing in the definition of ρ -separation and $C = C(\delta/2)$.

Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ then

$$|f(z)|^p e^{-p\phi(z)} \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, from which we infer that $\mathcal{F}_\phi^p \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$.

2.3. Generalised lattices. We shall now consider analogues of the integer lattice considered earlier, that play a similar role in our generalised spaces.

Theorem 7 ([MMO03, Theorem 17]). *Let ϕ be a subharmonic function such that $\Delta\phi$ is a doubling measure. There exists an entire function g such that*

- *The zero-sequence $\mathcal{Z}(g)$ of g is ρ_ϕ -separated and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} d_\phi(z, \mathcal{Z}(g)) < \infty$.*
- *$|g(z)| \simeq e^{\phi(z)} d_\phi(z, \mathcal{Z}(g))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.*

The function g can be chosen so that, moreover, it vanishes on a prescribed $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that g is a multiplier associated to ϕ .

Furthermore [MMO03, Lemma 37] shows that $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta\phi}^+(\mathcal{Z}(g)) = 1/2\pi$. We shall now regard ϕ and g (and consequently ρ) as fixed and we will say that $\Lambda = \mathcal{Z}(g)$ is a *critical lattice associated to the multiplier g* . The multiplier can be thought of as playing the same role in Fock spaces that sine-type functions play in Paley-Wiener spaces.

Suppose now that $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$, that z is uniformly bounded away from Λ in the distance d_ϕ and $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary. Then

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right|^p \simeq |f(z)|^p e^{-p\phi(z)} < \epsilon$$

uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, where we have used Theorem 7 and Lemma 6. In fact, if z_n is any ρ -separated sequence that satisfies $d_\phi(z_n, \Lambda) \geq C > 0$ for all n (here C is any positive constant),

then Theorem 7 implies that

$$(4) \quad \sum_n \left| \frac{f(z_n)}{g(z_n)} \right|^p < \infty.$$

For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ Theorem 7 and Lemma 4 show that $|g'(\lambda)| \simeq e^{\phi(\lambda)}/\rho(\lambda)$ and we conclude that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left| \frac{f(\lambda)}{g'(\lambda)\rho(\lambda)} \right|^p < +\infty$$

by invoking (3).

There exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $|\lambda - \lambda'| > 2\delta_1 \max\{\rho(\lambda), \rho(\lambda')\}$ for all $\lambda \neq \lambda'$. Recall that $D^r(z) = D(z, r\rho(z))$ and $D(z) = D^1(z)$. We will write

$$Q_\lambda = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : d_\phi(z, \Lambda) = d_\phi(z, \lambda)\}$$

for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Lemma 4 implies that for any $0 < \delta \leq \delta_1$ then $D^\delta(\lambda) \subseteq Q_\lambda$ and for some constant $R_1 > 0$ we have $Q_\lambda \subseteq D^{R_1}(\lambda)$. In fact the sets $D^{\delta_1}(\lambda)$ are pairwise disjoint and $\mathbb{C} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Q_\lambda$. Additionally we have

$$(6) \quad \int_{Q_\lambda} \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2} \simeq \frac{1}{\rho(\lambda)^2} \int_{Q_\lambda} dm(z) = \frac{|Q_\lambda|}{\rho(\lambda)^2} \leq \frac{|D^{R_1}(\lambda)|}{\rho(\lambda)^2} = \pi R_1^2$$

where $|A|$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set A .

We shall henceforth assume that $0 \in \Lambda$. This can always be achieved by fixing some $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ and translating this point to the origin. This is merely a matter of convenience and will simplify many of our calculations.

Let β and η be as in (1) and chose $\alpha > 2 + 2\eta$. Then, for $0 < \delta < \delta_1$,

$$\sum_{|\lambda|>1} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^\alpha} \lesssim \sum_{|\lambda|>1} \frac{\rho(\lambda)^2}{|\lambda|^{\alpha-2\eta}} \simeq \sum_{|\lambda|>1} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda)} \frac{1}{|z|^{\alpha-2\eta}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D^\delta(0)} \frac{1}{|z|^{\alpha-2\eta}} < +\infty$$

so that $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \lambda^{-\alpha}$ is an absolutely convergent sum.

2.4. Discrete potentials. In this section we shall only assume that Λ is ρ -separated, although when we apply it later we shall take $\Lambda = \mathcal{Z}(g)$. Given a sequence $(d_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $(d_\lambda \rho(\lambda)^\alpha)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in \ell^p$ where α is real, we will say that $d \in \ell^p(\rho^\alpha)$. We shall repeatedly need the following result:

Lemma 8. (i) If Λ is ρ -separated, $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $d \in \ell^p(\rho^{-1})$ then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_\lambda}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^3} \in \ell^p(\rho^2).$$

(ii) If Λ is ρ -separated, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ and $d \in \ell^p(\rho^{-1})$ then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_\lambda}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^{N+1}} \in \ell^p(\rho^N)$$

for any integer $N > 1/t$ where t is the constant occurring in Lemma 3.

Proof. (i) Define $\tilde{d}_\lambda = d_\lambda/\rho(\lambda)$ and

$$L_{\lambda'}(\tilde{d}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{\tilde{d}_\lambda \rho(\lambda) \rho(\lambda')^2}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^3}.$$

Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3 show that this sum converges, and it clearly gives rise to a linear operator on ℓ^p . We will show that it is in fact a bounded operator from ℓ^p to ℓ^p , which will imply the claimed result. Note first that

$$\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} |L_{\lambda'}| \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\tilde{d}_\lambda| \rho(\lambda) \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda_\lambda} \frac{\rho(\lambda')^2}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^3} \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\tilde{d}_\lambda|$$

so that L is a bounded linear operator from ℓ^1 to ℓ^1 . Here we have used the fact that

$$\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda_\lambda} \frac{\rho(\lambda')^2}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^3} \simeq \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda_\lambda} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} \frac{dm(z)}{|z - \lambda|^3} \leq \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D^\delta(\lambda)} \frac{dm(z)}{|z - \lambda|^3} \simeq \rho(\lambda)^{-1}$$

where $0 < \delta < \delta_1$.

We now show that L is a bounded operator from ℓ^2 to ℓ^2 , using Schur's test (see eg. [Wik10]). We consider L as an integral operator with kernel $K(\lambda', \lambda) = \frac{\rho(\lambda) \rho(\lambda')^2}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^3}$ for $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ and $K(\lambda, \lambda) = 0$. Now

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K(\lambda', \lambda) \rho(\lambda) = \rho(\lambda')^2 \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{\rho(\lambda)^2}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^3} \lesssim \rho(\lambda')$$

and

$$\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} K(\lambda', \lambda) \rho(\lambda') = \rho(\lambda) \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda_\lambda} \frac{\rho(\lambda')^3}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^3} \lesssim \rho(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(\lambda')} \frac{\rho(z)}{|\lambda' - z|^3} dm(z).$$

Applying Lemma 3 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(\lambda')} \frac{\rho(z)}{|\lambda' - z|^3} dm(z) \lesssim \rho(\lambda')^t \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(\lambda')} \frac{dm(z)}{|\lambda' - z|^{2+t}} \simeq 1$$

so that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} K(\lambda', \lambda) \rho(\lambda') \lesssim \rho(\lambda).$$

Combining now (7) and (8) and applying the Schur test shows that L is indeed bounded from ℓ^2 to ℓ^2 . Applying now the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem (see, eg. [Fol84, Chap. 6, §5, Thm (6.27)]) completes the proof.

(ii) We use the same notation. Define

$$M_{\lambda'}(\tilde{d}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{\tilde{d}_\lambda \rho(\lambda) \rho(\lambda')^N}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^{N+1}}.$$

Since Λ is ρ -separated and $N \geq 2$ we have

$$\frac{\rho(\lambda')^N}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^{N+1}} \lesssim \frac{\rho(\lambda')^2}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^3}$$

so that (i) shows that M defines a bounded linear operator from ℓ^1 to ℓ^1 .

Also

$$\sup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} |M_{\lambda'}| \leq \|\tilde{d}\|_{\ell^\infty} \sup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \rho(\lambda')^N \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^{N+1}}.$$

Applying again Lemma 3 we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^{N+1}} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(\lambda')} \frac{dm(z)}{|\lambda' - z|^{N+1} \rho(z)} \lesssim \rho(\lambda')^{-\frac{1}{t}} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(\lambda')} \frac{dm(z)}{|\lambda' - z|^{2+N-\frac{1}{t}}} \simeq \rho(\lambda')^{-N}$$

since $N > 1/t$. Consequently

$$\sup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} |M_{\lambda'}| \lesssim \|\tilde{d}\|_{\ell^\infty}$$

so that M defines a bounded linear operator from ℓ^∞ to ℓ^∞ . Once more the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

3. STATEMENT OF OUR MAIN RESULTS.

We are ready to state our results, in full generality. As before Λ is a critical lattice, the zero sequence of g a multiplier associated to ϕ . We begin with the simplest case, which is the Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_\phi^2$, where we need only slightly modify Theorem 2:

Theorem 9. *Let Λ be a critical lattice associated to the multiplier g . There exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^2$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if*

- $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^2 e^{-2\phi(\lambda)} < +\infty$ and
- $\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{g'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')} \right|^2 < +\infty.$

Our result in $\mathcal{F}_\phi^1$ is also only a slight modification of Theorem 2.

Theorem 10. *Let Λ be a critical lattice associated to the multiplier g . There exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^1$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if*

- $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| e^{-\phi(\lambda)} < +\infty$ and
- $\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{g'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')} \right| < +\infty$ and
- $\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \rho(\lambda') \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{g'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')^2} \right| < +\infty$

In general, the situation is slightly more complicated. We begin with the case $1 < p < 2$. Here there are two possibilities, depending on whether or not ρ^{p-2} is a Muckenhoupt A_p weight (see Section 5 for the definition). If this additional assumption holds then our result is essentially the same as in the classical case, otherwise we add an additional condition to our result. We also show in Section 5 that both of these possibilities can occur.

Theorem 11. *Let Λ be a critical lattice associated to the multiplier g and suppose $1 < p < 2$.*

- *If ρ_ϕ^{p-2} is an A_p weight then there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if*
 - (a) $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p e^{-p\phi(\lambda)} < +\infty$ and
 - (b) $\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{g'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')} \right|^p < +\infty$.
- *If ρ_ϕ^{p-2} is not an A_p weight then there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if (a) and (b) hold, and in addition*
 - (c) $\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \rho(\lambda') \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{g'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')^2} \right|^p < +\infty$.

If $2 < p < \infty$ then our result becomes more complicated, depending on the doubling constant.

Theorem 12. *Let Λ be a critical lattice associated to the multiplier g and suppose $2 < p < \infty$. Let t be the constant occurring in Lemma 3 (which depends on the doubling constant).*

- (i) *If $t > 1/2$ and ρ_ϕ^{p-2} is an A_p weight then there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if (a) and (b) hold.*
- (ii) *If $t > 1/2$ and ρ_ϕ^{p-2} is not an A_p weight then there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if (a), (b) and (c) hold.*
- (iii) *If $t \leq 1/2$ then there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if (a) holds and*
 - (b') *There exists an integer $N > \frac{1}{t}$ such that, for every $1 \leq n \leq N$,*

$$\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \rho(\lambda')^{n-1} \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{g'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')^n} \right|^p < +\infty.$$

We finally state our result for $\mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$, which again depends on the doubling constant.

Theorem 13. *Let Λ be a critical lattice associated to the multiplier g and let t be the constant occurring in Lemma 3. There exists $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$ satisfying $f|_\Lambda = c$ if and only if*

- $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda| e^{-\phi(\lambda)} < +\infty$,
 - $\sup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda_0} \left| -\frac{c_0}{g'(0)\lambda'} + \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{c_\lambda}{g'(\lambda)} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda'} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right| < +\infty$ and
 - *There exists an integer $N > \frac{1}{t}$ such that, for every $2 \leq n \leq N$,*
- $$\sup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \rho(\lambda')^{n-1} \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{c_\lambda}{g'(\lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')^n} \right| < +\infty.$$

We finish this section by showing that the cases $t \leq 1/2$ and $t > 1/2$ are both possible. In the classical example of $\phi(z) = |z|^2$ we may take t to be arbitrarily close to 1. For the other case we consider the function $\phi(z) = |z|^\gamma$ where $\gamma > 0$. We may assume, by normalising appropriately, that $\rho(0) = 1$. Then for $\zeta \notin D(0)$ we have $\rho(\zeta) \simeq |\zeta|^{1-\gamma/2}$. Taking now $z = 0$ in Lemma 3 we see that we must have

$$|\zeta|^{1-\gamma/2} \lesssim |\zeta|^{1-t}$$

for $\zeta \notin D(0)$ so that $t \leq \gamma/2$. Thus, for the function $\phi(z) = |z|^\gamma$ when $\gamma \leq 1$, we must have $t \leq 1/2$.

4. REPRESENTATION FORMULAS

In this section we will prove two representation formulas for functions in our generalised Fock spaces in terms of the values of the function on a critical lattice. These formulas are reminiscent of the Lagrange interpolation formula.

Lemma 14. *Let Λ be a critical lattice associated to the multiplier g . If $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$ then*

$$(9) \quad f(z) = g(z) \left[w_0 + \frac{f(0)}{g'(0)z} + \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \frac{f(\lambda)}{g'(\lambda)} \left(\frac{1}{z-\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right]$$

where $w_0 = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{zf(z)}{g(z)} \right) = \frac{f'(0)}{g'(0)} - \frac{g''(0)}{2g'(0)^2}$.

Proof. We denote $d_\lambda = f(\lambda)/g'(\lambda)$ and note that $|d_\lambda/\rho(\lambda)| \simeq |c_\lambda|e^{-\phi(\lambda)} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)|e^{-\phi(z)} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty}$ so that $(d_\lambda/\rho(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in \ell^\infty$ and $\|d_\lambda/\rho(\lambda)\|_\infty \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty}$. Let β and η be as in (1) and fix a positive integer $n > 2 + 2\eta + \beta$. We will write

$$(10) \quad f(z) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(\lambda)g_\lambda(z)$$

where g_λ are entire functions satisfying $g_\lambda(\lambda') = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$. The obvious candidate for $g_\lambda(z)$ is the function $g_\lambda(z) = \frac{g(z)}{g'(\lambda)(z-\lambda)}$, however the resultant series is in general not convergent. We shall keep $g_0(z) = \frac{g(z)}{g'(0)z}$, but instead take $g_\lambda(z) = \frac{g(z)}{g'(\lambda)} \left(\frac{1}{z-\lambda} - p_{n-1}(z) \right)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, where p_{n-1} is the Taylor polynomial of degree $n-1$ of the function $C_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{z-\lambda}$ expanded around 0. Note that we still have $g_\lambda(\lambda') = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$ but now the series is pointwise convergent. In fact

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{z-\lambda} - p_{n-1}(z) = \frac{1}{z-\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{z}{\lambda^2} + \cdots + \frac{z^{n-1}}{\lambda^n} = \frac{z^n}{\lambda^n(z-\lambda)}$$

so that if we define

$$G(z) = \frac{d_0}{z} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} d_\lambda \left(\frac{1}{z-\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{z}{\lambda^2} + \cdots + \frac{z^{n-1}}{\lambda^n} \right) = \frac{d_0}{z} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} d_\lambda \frac{z^n}{\lambda^n(z-\lambda)}$$

then for any K a compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda$ we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \left| d_\lambda \frac{z^n}{\lambda^n(z-\lambda)} \right| \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \frac{|d_\lambda|}{|\lambda|^n} \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{|\lambda|^n} \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{n-\beta}} < +\infty$$

for all $z \in K$, since $n - \beta > 2 + 2\eta$. Hence G defines a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with a simple pole at each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Consequently gG is an entire function that agrees with f at each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. This implies that there exists an entire function h such that $f - gG = gh$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \delta_1$, and define $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D^\delta(\lambda)$. Now for each $z \in \Omega$ we have

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right| \simeq \frac{|f(z)|e^{-\phi(z)}}{d_\phi(z, \Lambda)} \simeq |f(z)|e^{-\phi(z)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty}.$$

Also, when $z \in \Omega$, we obviously have

$$|G(z)| \leq \frac{|d_0|}{|z|} + |z|^n \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \left| \frac{d_\lambda}{\lambda^n(z - \lambda)} \right|.$$

We split this sum over two separate ranges. For any $R > 1$,

$$\sum_{|\lambda| > R} \left| \frac{d_\lambda}{\lambda^n(z - \lambda)} \right| \lesssim \sum_{|\lambda| > R} \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{|\lambda|^n |z - \lambda|} \lesssim \sum_{|\lambda| > R} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^n} < \epsilon$$

for sufficiently large R . Fixing one such R we then have, for $|z| > 2R$,

$$\sum_{0 < |\lambda| \leq R} \left| \frac{d_\lambda}{\lambda^n(z - \lambda)} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|z|} \sum_{0 < |\lambda| \leq R} \frac{|d_\lambda|}{|\lambda|^n} = \frac{C}{|z|}$$

for some constant C . Hence

$$|G(z)| \leq \left| \frac{d_0}{z} \right| + |z|^n \left(\frac{C}{|z|} + \epsilon \right) = o(z^n)$$

for $|z| \geq 2R$. Gathering these estimates we have $|h(z)| = o(z^n)$ for $z \in \Omega$ of sufficiently large modulus. Applying now the maximum principle to h on $D^\delta(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ far from the origin we see that this holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ of sufficiently large modulus. We conclude that h is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to $n - 1$.

Note that if we define

$$H(z) = G(z) - \frac{d_0}{z} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} d_\lambda \left(\frac{1}{z - \lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{z}{\lambda^2} + \cdots + \frac{z^{n-1}}{\lambda^n} \right)$$

then $H^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for $0 \leq j < n$. Since

$$h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - G(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{zf(z)}{g(z)} - d_0 \right) - H(z)$$

we may evaluate h by computing the Laurent expansion of f/g around 0. This yields

$$(12) \quad h(z) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m!} \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^m}{dw^m} \left(\frac{wf(w)}{g(w)} \right) z^{m-1}.$$

Fix some $0 < \delta' < \delta_1$ and define $\gamma(R)$ to be the closed curve consisting of the portion of the circle $|z| = R$ for which $|z - \lambda| \geq \delta' \rho(\lambda)$ and of the portions the circles $|z - \lambda| = \delta' \rho(\lambda)$ that

intersect the circle $|z| = R$ in such a manner that λ is in the domain bounded by $\gamma(R)$ if and only if $|\lambda| < R$. Then the Cauchy residue theorem implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(R)} \frac{f(w)}{g(w)w^m} dw = \frac{1}{m!} \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^m}{dw^m} \left(\frac{wf(w)}{g(w)} \right) + \sum_{0 < |\lambda| < R} \frac{d_\lambda}{\lambda^m}.$$

Now the length of the contour of integration is comparable to the length of the circle of radius R . Moreover $d_\phi(z, \Lambda)$ is bounded away from 0 for $z \in \gamma(R)$ so that $|f(z)/g(z)|$ is bounded above. This implies that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(R)} \frac{f(w)}{g(w)w^m} dz = 0$$

for $m \geq 2$, whence

$$\frac{1}{m!} \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^m}{dw^m} \left(\frac{wf(w)}{g(w)} \right) = -\text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \frac{d_\lambda}{\lambda^m}.$$

Inserting this expression into (12) yields

$$h(z) = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{dw} \left(\frac{wf(w)}{g(w)} \right) - \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} d_\lambda \sum_{m=2}^n \frac{z^{m-1}}{\lambda^m}.$$

Computing now $f = g(G + h)$ completes the proof. ■

Remark. Given any function $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$ the function $f + Cg$ is also in $\mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$ for any constant C , and the functions agree at every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Thus Λ is not a set of uniqueness for this space. This result, however, tells us that this is the only possibility, that is if $f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$ and $f(\lambda) = \tilde{f}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ then $f - \tilde{f} = Cg$ for some constant C .

Corollary 15. *Let Λ be a critical lattice associated to the multiplier g . If $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi^p$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$ then*

$$(13) \quad f(z) = g(z) \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{f(\lambda)}{g'(\lambda)(z - \lambda)}.$$

Proof. We use the same notation. Since $\mathcal{F}_\phi^p \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\phi^\infty$ we know that (9) must hold. But now

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(R)} \frac{f(w)}{g(w)w} dz = 0$$

so that $w_0 = -\text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \frac{d_\lambda}{\lambda}$. ■

Remarks. This shows that Λ is a set of uniqueness for these spaces.

This representation is (10) with the obvious choice of g_λ , except that we are taking principal values of the sum. In fact if $p = 1$ then the sum appearing in (13) is absolutely convergent, so the principal value may be ignored. In this case the proof may be simplified by taking G to be this sum and estimating similarly. The decay of this function away from the lattice means we have no need to invoke the Cauchy residue theorem, or involve principal values.

5. THE DISCRETE BEURLING-AHLFORS TRANSFORM

It is well known that the Beurling-Ahlfors transform given by

$$(14) \quad T[f](\zeta) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(\zeta, \epsilon)} \frac{f(z)}{(\zeta - z)^2} dm(z),$$

where m denotes the Lebesgue measure on the plane, is a bounded linear operator from $L^p(\mathbb{C})$ to $L^p(\mathbb{C})$ for $1 < p < +\infty$. (It should be noted that this differs from the usual definition by a factor of $-\frac{1}{\pi}$, and that it is customary to denote this limit as a principle value. We have avoided doing so to eliminate any possible confusion with the principal value of a sum.) In fact this also holds if we replace $L^p(\mathbb{C})$ by a more general weighted space. We make use of the following definition:

Definition 6. [Ste93, Ch.V §1 p. 194] A weight ω on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a *Muckenhoupt A_p weight* if it is locally integrable and there exists some constant A such that

$$(15) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega(x) dm(x) \right) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega(x)^{-\frac{q}{p}} dm(x) \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq A < \infty$$

for all balls B in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Here m is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, q is the Hölder conjugate exponent of p (that is $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$) and $|B|$ is the Lebesgue measure of the ball B . The least constant A for which this holds is called the A_p bound of ω , denoted $A_p(\omega)$

We shall of course be interested in $\mathbb{R}^2$ which we identify with $\mathbb{C}$. Now the corollary to [Ste93, Ch. V, §4.2, Th. 2] combined with [Ste93, Ch. V, §4.5.2] show that T is a bounded linear operator from $L^p(\omega)$ to $L^p(\omega)$ for $1 < p < +\infty$ for any A_p weight ω . (In fact the proof is given for a much more general class of integral operators, of which T is a special case.) We aim to use this property to study a discrete analogue.

We shall be interested in the case when ρ^{p-2} is an A_p weight. Substituting into (15) and re-formulating shows that this is equivalent to saying that there exists some constant A such that

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{|D|} \left(\int_D \rho(z)^p d\nu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_D \rho(z)^q d\nu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A$$

for all discs D in the plane. Here $d\nu(z) = dm(z)/\rho(z)^2$. We note that this is trivially satisfied if $p = 2$. It is also satisfied for all p if $\rho(z) \simeq 1$, as is the case in the classical Bargmann-Fock space. We now construct an example to show that there are situations where this condition does not hold. As a first observation, since (16) is symmetric in p and q , we can assume $p < 2$. We note that if $D = D(\zeta, R)$ is of sufficiently small radius, then $\rho(z) \simeq \rho(\zeta)$ for all $z \in D$ by (2). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|D|} \left(\int_D \rho(z)^p d\nu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_D \rho(z)^q d\nu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \simeq \frac{1}{|D|} \left(\rho(\zeta)^{p-2} \int_D dm(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\rho(\zeta)^{q-2} \int_D dm(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

so that it suffices to check only discs of large radius.

We will take $\phi(z) = C_\gamma |z|^\gamma$ for some positive constants γ and C_γ , which means that $\rho(z) \simeq \rho(0)$ for $z \in D(0)$ and $\rho(z) \simeq |z|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}$ for $z \notin D(0)$. By choosing C_γ appropriately, we may assume $\rho(0) = 2$. We pick $R > \rho(0)$ and take $D = D(0, R)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_D \rho(z)^p d\nu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(\int_{D(0)} \rho(z)^p d\nu(z) + \int_{D \setminus D(0)} \rho(z)^p d\nu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\simeq \left(\rho(0)^{p-2} |D(0)| + \int_{\rho(0)}^R r^{(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})(p-2)} r dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\simeq \left(\rho(0)^p + \frac{R^{p-\frac{\gamma p}{2}+\gamma} - \rho(0)^{p-\frac{\gamma p}{2}+\gamma}}{p - \frac{\gamma p}{2} + \gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\simeq \left(R^{p-\frac{\gamma p}{2}+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = R^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

since $p - \frac{\gamma p}{2} + \gamma > 0$ for $p < 2$. We now chose some γ such that $q - \frac{\gamma q}{2} + \gamma < 0$. Then an identical computation gives

$$\left(\int_D \rho(z)^q d\nu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \simeq \left(\rho(0)^q + \frac{R^{q-\frac{\gamma q}{2}+\gamma} - \rho(0)^{q-\frac{\gamma q}{2}+\gamma}}{q - \frac{\gamma q}{2} + \gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \simeq \rho(0) = 2.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{|D|} \left(\int_D \rho(z)^p d\nu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_D \rho(z)^q d\nu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \simeq \frac{2}{R^2} R^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{p}} \simeq R^{-1-\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{p}}$$

which is only uniformly bounded if $-1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{p} < 0$. However

$$-1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{p} = -1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} + \gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) = -1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{q}$$

which we have assumed to be positive. This shows that there exist situations where ρ^{p-2} is not an A_p weight.

As before $\Lambda = \mathcal{Z}(g)$ will be the irregular lattice we are considering. Given a sequence $d \in \ell^p(\rho^{-1})$ we define, for each $\lambda' \in \Lambda$,

$$(17) \quad B_{\lambda'}(d) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_\lambda}{(\lambda' - \lambda)^2}$$

which we shall normally write as $B_{\lambda'}$, suppressing the dependence on d . It is clear that this is the discrete analogue of (14). Lemma 8 shows that, for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, this sum converges absolutely for each $\lambda' \in \Lambda$. Also, by Lemma 8, this sum converges for $2 < p < \infty$ if $t > 1/2$ where t is the constant occurring in Lemma 3. Our main result is the following, which is proved using the boundedness of (14).

Theorem 16. Fix $1 < p < +\infty$ and suppose that ρ^{p-2} is an A_p weight. Define the operator

$$B : \ell^p(\rho^{-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\Lambda$$

$$d \mapsto (B_{\lambda'})_{\lambda' \in \Lambda}$$

where $B_{\lambda'}$ is given by (17). Then B is a bounded linear operator from $\ell^p(\rho^{-1})$ to $\ell^p(\rho)$ for $1 < p \leq 2$. If in addition $t > 1/2$ then the result also holds for $2 < p < +\infty$. Here t is the constant occurring in Lemma 3.

Proof. We first note that it is obvious that B is linear, we are interested in showing that it indeed maps $\ell^p(\rho^{-1})$ to $\ell^p(\rho)$, and is a bounded operator. Recall that the sets $D^{\delta_1}(\lambda)$ are pairwise disjoint. Suppose that $d \in \ell^p(\rho^{-1})$ and define $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi \delta_1^2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{d_\lambda}{\rho(\lambda)^2} \chi_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda)}(z)$$

where χ_D is the characteristic function of the set D . Then clearly $f \in L^p(\rho^{p-2})$. In fact $\|f\|_{L^p(\rho^{p-2})}^p \simeq \frac{\pi \delta_1^2}{\pi^p \delta_1^{2p}} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left| \frac{d_\lambda}{\rho(\lambda)} \right|^p$ so that, by our A_p assumption, $T[f] \in L^p(\rho^{p-2})$ and indeed

$$\|T[f]\|_{L^p(\rho^{p-2})} \leq \|T\| \|f\|_{L^p(\rho^{p-2})} \simeq \|T\| \|d_\lambda\|_{\ell^p(\rho^{-1})}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} T[f](\lambda') &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(\lambda', \epsilon)} \frac{f(z)}{(\lambda' - z)^2} dm(z) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda)} \frac{f(z)}{(\lambda' - z)^2} dm(z) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda') \setminus D(\lambda', \epsilon)} \frac{f(z)}{(\lambda' - z)^2} dm(z) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda)} \frac{d_\lambda}{\pi \delta_1^2 \rho(\lambda)^2} \frac{1}{(\lambda' - z)^2} dm(z) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_\lambda}{(\lambda' - \lambda)^2} \\ &= B_{\lambda'}, \end{aligned}$$

since f is constant on $D^{\delta_1}(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and the average value of a harmonic function on a disk is the value at the centre. Fix $0 < \delta < \delta_1$. It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho(\lambda') B_{\lambda'}|^p &= \rho(\lambda')^p |T[f](\lambda')|^p \lesssim \rho(\lambda')^p \left| T[f](\lambda') - \frac{1}{\pi \delta^2 \rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} T[f](\zeta) dm(\zeta) \right|^p \\ &\quad + \rho(\lambda')^p \left| \frac{1}{\pi \delta^2 \rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} T[f](\zeta) dm(\zeta) \right|^p \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and we shall estimate these terms separately. The second term is especially easy to bound since, by Jensen's inequality,

$$(19) \quad \rho(\lambda')^p \left| \frac{1}{\pi \delta^2 \rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} T[f](\zeta) dm(\zeta) \right|^p \leq \rho(\lambda')^p \frac{1}{\pi \delta^2 \rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} |T[f](\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) \\ \simeq \frac{1}{\pi \delta^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} |T[f](\zeta)|^p \frac{dm(\zeta)}{\rho(\zeta)^{2-p}}.$$

We now estimate the first term. Applying the definitions and computing gives

$$T[f](\lambda') - \frac{1}{\pi \delta^2 \rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} T[f](\zeta) dm(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi \delta^2 \rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} T[f](\lambda') - T[f](\zeta) dm(\zeta) \\ = \frac{1}{\pi \delta^2 \rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} \left(\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(\lambda', \epsilon)} \frac{f(z)}{(\lambda' - z)^2} dm(z) - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(\zeta, \epsilon)} \frac{f(z)}{(\zeta - z)^2} dm(z) \right) dm(\zeta) \\ = \frac{1}{\pi \delta^2 \rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D^{\delta_1}(\lambda')} f(z) \left[\frac{1}{(\lambda' - z)^2} - \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)^2} \right] dm(z) \right. \\ \left. + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda') \setminus D(\lambda', \epsilon)} \frac{f(z)}{(\lambda' - z)^2} dm(z) - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda') \setminus D(\zeta, \epsilon)} \frac{f(z)}{(\zeta - z)^2} dm(z) \right\} dm(\zeta).$$

We shall bound each of these three terms separately. First note that, by symmetry,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda') \setminus D(\lambda', \epsilon)} \frac{f(z)}{(\lambda' - z)^2} dm(z) = 0.$$

Note also that if

$$\int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D^{\delta_1}(\lambda')} f(z) \left[\frac{1}{(\lambda' - z)^2} - \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)^2} \right] dm(z) dm(\zeta)$$

is absolutely convergent then it vanishes similarly, since we may apply Fubini's theorem. But

$$\left| \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D^{\delta_1}(\lambda')} f(z) \left[\frac{1}{(\lambda' - z)^2} - \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)^2} \right] dm(z) dm(\zeta) \right| \\ = \left| \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D^{\delta_1}(\lambda')} f(z) \left[\frac{(\zeta + \lambda' - 2z)(\zeta - \lambda')}{(\lambda' - z)^2(\zeta - z)^2} \right] dm(z) dm(\zeta) \right| \\ \lesssim \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} |\zeta - \lambda'| dm(\zeta) \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D^{\delta_1}(\lambda')} \frac{|f(z)|}{|\lambda' - z|^3} dm(z)$$

since for $\zeta \in D^\delta(\lambda')$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D^{\delta_1}(\lambda')$ we have $|\zeta - z| \simeq |\lambda' - z|$. The integral in ζ is clearly finite. It remains only to estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D^{\delta_1}(\lambda')} \frac{|f(z)|}{|\lambda' - z|^3} dm(z) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{|d_\lambda|}{\pi \delta_1^2 \rho(\lambda)^2} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda)} \frac{dm(z)}{|\lambda' - z|^3} \simeq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{|d_\lambda|}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^3}$$

which we have already seen is finite under our hypothesis, in Lemma 8. We consequently have

$$\begin{aligned}
T[f](\lambda') &= \frac{1}{\pi\delta^2\rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} T[f](\zeta) dm(\zeta) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi\delta^2\rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda') \setminus D(\zeta, \epsilon)} \frac{f(z)}{(\zeta - z)^2} dm(z) dm(\zeta) \\
&= -\frac{d_{\lambda'}}{\pi^2\delta^2\delta_1^2\rho(\lambda')^4} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda') \setminus D(\zeta, \epsilon)} \frac{dm(z)}{(\zeta - z)^2} dm(\zeta)
\end{aligned}$$

Now the inner integral does not change in value for $\epsilon \leq (\delta_1 - \delta)\rho(\lambda')$. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad &|T[f](\lambda') - \frac{1}{\pi\delta^2\rho(\lambda')^2} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} T[f](\zeta) dm(\zeta)| \\
&\leq \frac{|d_{\lambda'}|}{\pi^2\delta^2\delta_1^2\rho(\lambda')^4} \int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} \int_{D^{\delta_1}(\lambda') \setminus D(\zeta, (\delta_1 - \delta)\rho(\lambda'))} \frac{dm(z)}{|\zeta - z|^2} dm(\zeta) \\
&\leq \frac{|d_{\lambda'}|}{\pi^2\delta^2\delta_1^2\rho(\lambda')^4} \frac{1}{(\delta_1 - \delta)^2\rho(\lambda')^2} |D^\delta(\lambda')| |D^{\delta_1}(\lambda') \setminus D(\zeta, (\delta_1 - \delta)\rho(\lambda'))| \simeq \frac{|d_{\lambda'}|}{\rho(\lambda')^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (19) and (20) into (18) gives finally that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} |\rho(\lambda') B_{\lambda'}|^p &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left(\int_{D^\delta(\lambda')} |T[f](\zeta)|^p \frac{dm(\zeta)}{\rho(\zeta)^{2-p}} + \frac{|d_{\lambda'}|^p}{\rho(\lambda')^p} \right) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} |T[f](\zeta)|^p \frac{dm(\zeta)}{\rho(\zeta)^{2-p}} + \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \frac{|d_{\lambda'}|^p}{\rho(\lambda')^p} \\
&= \|T[f]\|_{L^p(\rho^{p-2})}^p + \|d\|_{\ell^p(\rho^{-1})}^p \lesssim (1 + \|T\|^p) \|d\|_{\ell^p(\rho^{-1})}^p
\end{aligned}$$

so that B is indeed a bounded operator as claimed. ■

6. PROOFS

We shall use the same notation as before. We write $d_\lambda = c_\lambda/g'(\lambda)$ which, by virtue of the growth conditions on g , satisfies $(d_\lambda/\rho(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in \ell^p$. We are essentially going to give a unified proof of Theorems 9, 10, 11 and 12. We shall refer to an integer N which should be thought of as 2 for the case of Theorems 9, 10, 11 and 12(i) and (ii), but to be the integer N appearing in the statement of Theorem 12(iii). We also note that if $N = 2$ and ρ^{p-2} satisfies the A_p condition then we may apply Theorem 16. We begin by showing the necessity of the stated results.

Proof of the necessity. We have already remarked in (5) that (a) follows from the Plancherel-Polya type estimate. We define $\gamma(R)$ as in the proof of Lemma 14. Computing, for any $\lambda' \in \Lambda$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(R)} \frac{f(w)}{g(w)(w - \lambda')^n} dw$$

in exactly the same manner as in the proof of Lemma 14, where $1 \leq n \leq N$, shows that

$$\text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{(\lambda - \lambda')^n}$$

is well-defined. Fix some $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ and some integer $0 \leq k < N$. Define $\omega_k = e^{2\pi i k/N}$ and $z_{\lambda'}^k = \lambda' + \delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda')$. Then, for each k , $(z_{\lambda'}^k)_{\lambda' \in \Lambda}$ is a ρ -separated sequence that is bounded away from Λ in the distance d_{ϕ} . (4) implies that

$$\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \frac{f(z_{\lambda'}^k)}{g(z_{\lambda'}^k)} \right|^p < +\infty.$$

Replacing z by $\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda')$ and λ by $\lambda - \lambda'$ in Identity (11) yields

$$\frac{1}{z_{\lambda'}^k - \lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda'} + \frac{\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda')}{(\lambda - \lambda')^2} + \cdots + \frac{(\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda'))^{n-1}}{(\lambda - \lambda')^n} = \frac{(\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda'))^n}{(\lambda - \lambda')^n (z_{\lambda'}^k - \lambda)}.$$

Consequently, invoking (13), we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(z_{\lambda'}^k)}{g(z_{\lambda'}^k)} + \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} d_{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda'} + \frac{\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda')}{(\lambda - \lambda')^2} + \cdots + \frac{(\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda'))^{N-1}}{(\lambda - \lambda')^N} \right) \\ = \frac{d_{\lambda'}}{\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda')} + \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda} (\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda'))^N}{(\lambda - \lambda')^N (z_{\lambda'}^k - \lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} d_{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda'} + \frac{\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda')}{(\lambda - \lambda')^2} + \cdots + \frac{(\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda'))^{N-1}}{(\lambda - \lambda')^N} \right) \right|^p \\ \lesssim \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left\{ (\delta^N \rho(\lambda')^N \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{|d_{\lambda}|}{|\lambda - \lambda'|^N |z_{\lambda'}^k - \lambda|})^p + \left| \frac{d_{\lambda'}}{\delta \rho(\lambda')} \right|^p + \left| \frac{f(z_{\lambda'}^k)}{g(z_{\lambda'}^k)} \right|^p \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that the second and third terms are summable, it remains only to estimate the first. All of the terms in this sum are positive, so we may ignore the principal value. Moreover $|z_{\lambda'}^k - \lambda| \simeq |\lambda - \lambda'|$, so that Lemma 8 shows that this sum is convergent. (It is here that the value of N is important.) Taking now linear combinations over different k completes the proof, for example

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{\lambda - \lambda'} \right|^p = \\ \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} d_{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda'} + \frac{\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda')}{(\lambda - \lambda')^2} + \cdots + \frac{(\delta \omega_k \rho(\lambda'))^{N-1}}{(\lambda - \lambda')^N} \right) \right|^p < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

■

We now turn to the proof of the sufficiency, which is similar. We use the same notation.

Proof of the sufficiency. We wish to construct a function, that solves the interpolation problem $f|_{\Lambda} = c$. As in the proof to Lemma 14, the naïve attempt at Lagrange interpolation is not in general convergent. We modify in the exact same manner, and a similar argument shows that

$$G(z) = \frac{d_0}{z} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} d_{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{z - \lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{z}{\lambda^2} + \cdots + \frac{z^{N-1}}{\lambda^N} \right)$$

defines a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. (Here we invoke Lemma 8 to see the series is convergent, which once more determines the value of N .) Hence

$$G(z) + \sum_{k=1}^N z^{k-1} \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{\lambda^k} = \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{z - \lambda}$$

is a well-defined meromorphic function. It follows that $f(z) = g(z) \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{z - \lambda}$ is an entire function satisfying $f(\lambda) = c_{\lambda}$. It remains to show that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\phi}^p$. We must show the following integral is finite (Recall that $Q_{\lambda} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : d_{\phi}(z, \Lambda) = d_{\phi}(z, \lambda)\}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^p e^{-p\phi(z)} \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2} &= \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \int_{Q_{\lambda'}} |f(z)|^p e^{-p\phi(z)} \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \int_{Q_{\lambda'}} |g(z) e^{-\phi(z)} \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{z - \lambda}|^p \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2} \\ &\simeq \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \int_{Q_{\lambda'}} \left| d_{\phi}(z, \lambda') \left(\frac{d_{\lambda'}}{z - \lambda'} + \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{z - \lambda} \right) \right|^p \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \left| \frac{d_{\lambda'}}{\rho(\lambda')} \right|^p + \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \int_{Q_{\lambda'}} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{z - \lambda} \right|^p \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $d_{\phi}(z, \lambda') \simeq |z - \lambda'|/\rho(\lambda') \lesssim 1$ for $z \in Q_{\lambda'}$ by Lemma 4. The first term is finite by hypothesis, so we need only bound the second. Once more we use Identity (11) which suitably modified yields

$$\frac{1}{z - \lambda} = \frac{(z - \lambda')^N}{(z - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')^N} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda'} - \frac{z - \lambda'}{(\lambda - \lambda')^2} - \cdots - \frac{(z - \lambda')^{N-1}}{(\lambda - \lambda')^N}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \int_{Q_{\lambda'}} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{z - \lambda} \right|^p \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2} &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \int_{Q_{\lambda'}} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda}(z - \lambda')^N}{(z - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda')^N} \right|^p \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda}(z - \lambda')^{n-1}}{(\lambda - \lambda')^n} \right|^p \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for $z \in Q_{\lambda'}$ we have $|z - \lambda'| \lesssim \rho(\lambda')$ and $|z - \lambda| \simeq |\lambda' - \lambda|$. Hence, by (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \int_{Q_{\lambda'}} \left| \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{z - \lambda} \right|^p \frac{dm(z)}{\rho(z)^2} &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} (\rho(\lambda')^N \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{|d_{\lambda}|}{|\lambda - \lambda'|^{N+1}})^p + \\ &\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} |\rho(\lambda')^{n-1} \text{p.v.} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda'}} \frac{d_{\lambda}}{(\lambda - \lambda')^n}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is finite by Lemma 8 (again the value of N is important here), the remainder by hypothesis. This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

The proof of Theorem 13 is similar and omitted.

REFERENCES

- [Ber80] G. Z. Ber, *The phenomenon of interference in an integral metric, and approximation by entire functions of exponential type. (Russian)*, Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **34** (1980), 11–24, i.
- [Chr91] Michael Christ, *On the $\bar{\partial}$ equation in weighted L^2 norms in $\mathbb{C}^1$* , J. Geom. Anal. **1** (1991), no. 3, 193–230.
- [Fol84] Gerald B Folland, *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, John Wiley & Sons (1984).
- [Lev96] B. Ya. Levin, *Lectures on Entire Functions*, Providence: American Mathematical Society. (1996).
- [MMO03] Nicolas Marco, Xavier Massaneda and Joaquim Ortega-Cerdà, *Interpolating and Sampling Sequences for Entire Functions.*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), 862–914.
- [Sei92] Kristian Seip, *Density theorems for sampling and interpolation in the Bargmann-Fock space. I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **429** (1992), 91–106.
- [Ste93] Elias M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals.*, New Jersey: Princeton University Press. (1993)
- [SW92] Kristian Seip and Robert Wallstén, *Density theorems for sampling and interpolation in the Bargmann-Fock space. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **429** (1992), 107–113.
- [Wik10] Wikipedia contributors, *Schur test*, Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, 4 April 2010, 15:45 UTC, (http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Schur_test&oldid=353922848) [accessed 26 July 2010]

DEPT. MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN

E-mail address: jerry.buckley07@gmail.com

DEPT. MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN

E-mail address: xavier.massaneda@ub.edu

DEPT. MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN

E-mail address: jortega@ub.edu